

## Fishing Effort Survey

 2022 Annual Report
## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank RTI International for administering the Fishing Effort Survey on behalf of NOAA, National Marine Fisheries Service during 2022.

## Contents

Acknowledgments ..... 2
List of Tables \& Figures ..... 4

1. Overview ..... 5
2. Sampling Methodology ..... 5
3. Data Collection ..... 7
4. Data Processing ..... 8
5. Response Rates ..... 12
6. Weighting ..... 14
7. Estimates ..... 16
8. Quality Management ..... 16
9. Process Improvement ..... 17
References ..... 17
Appendix A. Questionnaire ..... A
Appendix B. Coastal Designations by County for Each State Sampled During 2022 ..... B
Appendix C. Survey Supporting Materials ..... C
Appendix D. Return Rates by Stratum for Waves 1-6, 2022 ..... D

## List of Tables \& Figures

Table 1. Sample size by state and wave during 2022 ..... 7
Table 2. Data collection schedule for the 2022 FES ..... 8
Table 3. Number and percentage of total surveys included in preliminary and final data by state during 2022. ..... 9
Table 4. FES survey edit rates by wave during 2022 ..... 11
Table 5. Non-representative surveys during 2022 ..... 12
Table 6. Weighted response rates by wave during 2022 ..... 13
Table 7. Weighted response rates by state during 2022 ..... 13
Table 8. Response rates by question (item) during 2022 ..... 14

## 1. Overview

Recreational fisheries catch and effort data collection are necessary to fulfill the requirements of Section 303 of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (16 U.S.C. 1852 et. seq.) and to comply with Executive Order 12962 on Recreational Fisheries. Section 303 (a) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act specifically mandates that data and analyses be included in Fishery Management Plans. As per these requirements, recreational fishing catch and effort data are used on an ongoing basis by NOAA Fisheries, regional fishery management councils, interstate marine fisheries commissions and state natural resource agencies in developing, implementing and monitoring fishery management programs. These statistics are used to determine the effects of fishing on fish stocks and to develop sound management strategies and policies. Continuous monitoring of recreational fishing catch and effort is also used to assess trends, evaluate the impacts of management regulations, and project how different management scenarios may influence a fishery.

The Fishing Effort Survey (FES) is a cross-sectional, self-administered mail survey that estimates recreational saltwater fishing effort in coastal states along the Atlantic coast, Gulf of Mexico and Hawaii. The FES utilizes an "engaging" approach designed to encourage participation of anglers and non-anglers by broadening the scope of inquiries to include both fishing and non-fishing questions. Household-level priming questions ask respondents about different types of outdoor activities and household characteristics while person-level questions, collected for up five household members, obtain information about individual demographic characteristics and recreational saltwater shore and private boat fishing effort during the previous two and 12 months (Appendix A). In 2022, the FES was administered in 16 states along the Atlantic Coast and Gulf of Mexico, as well as Hawaii (Table 1). The survey is administered for six, independent two-month reference waves beginning with wave 1 (January/February) and ending with wave 6 (November/December). The FES is consistent with OMB guidelines, and has received clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (5 CFR 1320.5(b)) under OMB Control No. 0648-0652. The current clearance is valid through 09/30/2023.

## 2. Sampling Methodology

Recreational saltwater fishing data are collected for all household members. Consequently, each household receiving a survey represents a sampling unit. The FES utilizes address-based samples (ABS) within coastal states to collect information about recent recreational saltwater fishing activity. The sample frame is derived from the USPS Computerized Delivery Sequence File (CDS) and includes all full-time (non-seasonal), residential addresses, with the exceptions of group quarters and PO boxes that are not flagged as the only way to get mail. Within each coastal state, sampling is stratified by sub-state region, which is defined by geographic proximity to the coast. Generally, counties with borders that are within 25 miles of the coast are in the "coastal" stratum and all other counties are in the "noncoastal" stratum. Rhode Island, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida and Hawaii are not geographically stratified due to relatively consistent fishing rates among counties. The designation of coastal counties in North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Alabama, and Mississippi changes throughout the year to reflect seasonal changes in fishing activity. Coastal county designation by state and wave for 2022 are provided in Appendix B.

Because angling households represent a relatively rare component of the general population, the ABS frame is supplemented by matching addresses on the CDS to lists of licensed saltwater anglers in each state. State license lists are derived from the National Saltwater Angler Registry (NSAR) and include all anglers licensed to participate in saltwater fishing in the study area between the beginning of each wave and the time the lists are compiled, approximately one month prior to the end of the wave. Augmenting the ABS sample frame with fishing license information creates additional strata (license matched and unmatched) and allows households with and without licensed anglers to be sampled at different rates.

The sample size for each state and wave is targeted to produce estimates of fishing effort with coefficients of variation of 0.20 . Within each state, stratum sample sizes are initially determined using a Neyman allocation (e.g. Wright 2014) where the sample is distributed among strata in proportion to the product of the population size and the standard deviation. The goal of the Neyman allocation is to maximize the precision of estimates for a fixed sample size.
Standard deviations are based upon historical FES data and estimates. Following the initial allocation, base weights are reviewed, and sample may be manually re-distributed among strata to reduce extreme weights and minimize the variation of weights among strata. Sample may also be re-distributed to maximize the probability of detecting fishing activity. Table 1 provides final sample sizes by wave and state for the 2022 FES.

Table 1. Sample size by state and wave during 2022

| Survey Wave |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | Total |
|  | 4,354 | 2,668 | 2,401 | 2,575 | 5,403 | 2,841 | 20,242 |
|  | $\cdot$ | 7,992 | 2,577 | 1,757 | 2,590 | 6,528 | 21,444 |
|  | $\cdot$ | 4,968 | 2,251 | 2,128 | 2,626 | 4,180 | 16,153 |
|  | 1,500 | 2,166 | 1,606 | 1,675 | 1,844 | 1,705 | 10,496 |
|  | $\cdot$ | 12,630 | 5,909 | 7,059 | 6,985 | 6,727 | 39,310 |
|  | 6,393 | 4,914 | 2,790 | 3,028 | 4,543 | 2,944 | 24,612 |
| ME | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | 2,597 | 1,924 | 3,094 | $\cdot$ | 7,615 |
| MD | $\cdot$ | 4,760 | 2,898 | 2,953 | 3,204 | 4,385 | 18,200 |
| MA | $\cdot$ | 10,646 | 2,812 | 1,800 | 3,760 | 10,783 | 29,801 |
| MS | 5,776 | 4,867 | 3,313 | 3,123 | 3,831 | 3,377 | 24,287 |
| NH | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | 3,547 | 3,672 | 6,460 | $\cdot$ | 13,679 |
| NJ | $\cdot$ | 9,533 | 2,847 | 3,126 | 3,804 | 5,500 | 24,810 |
| NY | $\cdot$ | 12,822 | 4,665 | 3,127 | 4,961 | 8,316 | 33,891 |
| NC | 6,868 | 3,307 | 2,467 | 2,732 | 3,681 | 2,869 | 21,924 |
| RI | $\cdot$ | 8,746 | 3,151 | 1,592 | 2,037 | 5,112 | 20,638 |
| SC | $\cdot$ | 3,986 | 3,382 | 3,178 | 3,081 | 3,972 | 17,599 |
| VA | $\cdot$ | 7,591 | 3,231 | 2,163 | 3,495 | 3,260 | 19,740 |
| Total | 24,891 | 101,596 | 52,444 | 47,612 | 65,399 | 72,499 | 364,441 |

## 3. Data Collection

FES data collection begins with an initial survey mailing one week prior to the end of each reference wave to ensure survey materials are received as close to the end of the wave as possible. This initial mailing, delivered by regular, first class mail, includes a cover letter stating the purpose of the survey, a survey questionnaire, business reply envelope (BRE), and a \$2 prepaid cash incentive.

One week after the initial mailing, a follow-up, thank you and reminder postcard is delivered via regular first class mail to all sampled addresses.

Three to four weeks after the initial survey mailing, a final mailing is delivered to all addresses that have not yet responded to the survey. The follow-up includes a nonresponse conversion letter, a second questionnaire, and a pre-paid return envelope. As with prior mailings, the follow-up is delivered via first class mail. All FES supporting materials are available in Appendix C.

Data collection for each reference wave is terminated thirteen weeks after the initial survey mailing. Questionnaires returned after thirteen weeks are scanned but are not committed
to the final survey datasets. The complete data collection schedule for 2022 is provided in Table 2.

Table 2. Data collection schedule for the 2022 FES

|  |  | Reference Period |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wave 1, | Wave 2, | Wave 3, | Wave 4, | Wave 5, | Wave 6, |
| Task/Event | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ |
| Wave begins | $1 / 1 / 2022$ | $3 / 1 / 2022$ | $5 / 1 / 2022$ | $7 / 1 / 2022$ | $9 / 1 / 2022$ | $11 / 1 / 2022$ |
| Initial survey mailing | $2 / 21 / 2022$ | $4 / 23 / 2022$ | $6 / 22 / 2022$ | $8 / 23 / 2022$ | $10 / 23 / 2022$ | $12 / 21 / 2022$ |
| Wave ends | $2 / 28 / 2022$ | $4 / 30 / 2022$ | $6 / 30 / 2022$ | $8 / 31 / 2022$ | $10 / 31 / 2022$ | $12 / 31 / 2022$ |
| Postcard reminder mailing | $3 / 1 / 2022$ | $5 / 2 / 2022$ | $7 / 1 / 2022$ | $9 / 1 / 2022$ | $11 / 1 / 2022$ | $12 / 30 / 2022$ |
| Follow-up mailing | $3 / 19 / 2022$ | $5 / 19 / 2022$ | $7 / 18 / 2022$ | $9 / 19 / 2022$ | $11 / 19 / 2022$ | $1 / 16 / 2023$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 4. Data Processing

During the 13 week data collection window, all surveys received by the FES data collection contractor are sorted by response status (e.g. complete, refusal) or return status designated by the Postal service (e.g. postal return with no new address, postal return with new address, type of undeliverable) and categorized by mailing. Return rates by state, sub-state region, and license match for each wave may be found in Appendix D.

Returned questionnaires are electronically scanned and, in the case of multiple returns by a household, only the first return is accepted to minimize recall bias. The total number of scanned pages is matched to the number of pages per survey to ensure no pages are missed, and the contrast and brightness is adjusted to provide a clear image. After scanned images are generated, a classification and optical character recognition (OCR) process converts the scanned images to an initial survey dataset. Several rounds of verification are then performed during which all open ended questions are manually entered.

Following verification, data are committed to a dataset, and PDFs of each survey are created. Preliminary data processing identifies missing responses, instances where a respondent marked more options than should have been marked, and recodes observations to inapplicable or missing based upon the number of reported household members relative to the number of individual person sections containing information. An initial survey disposition is assigned using a combination of standardized USPS codes, for undeliverable surveys and postal returns, and classifications of survey completeness.

Data from each reference wave are delivered to NOAA on two separate occasions as preliminary and final data sets. Preliminary data are delivered approximately four weeks after the end of the wave and include data received up to three weeks after the conclusion of the reference wave. Final data are delivered thirteen weeks after the end of the reference wave and include all data collected up to 12 weeks after completion of the wave. Preliminary data generally includes $70-80 \%$ of all returned surveys and is used to produce preliminary estimates of recreational saltwater fishing effort (Table 3). Upon delivery of final data, estimates are
updated to minimize variance by including data captured over the entire 12 week sample collection.

Table 3. Number and percentage of total surveys included in preliminary and final data by state during 2022.

| State | Prelim. |  | Final* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ |
| AL | 75.43 | 3,878 | 24.57 | 1,263 |
| CT | 75.57 | 4,479 | 24.43 | 1,448 |
| DE | 76.51 | 3,632 | 23.49 | 1,115 |
| FL | 76.53 | 2,031 | 23.47 | 623 |
| GA | 73.45 | 5,875 | 26.55 | 2,124 |
| HI | 75.93 | 6,543 | 24.07 | 2,074 |
| MA | 73.99 | 5,977 | 26.01 | 2,101 |
| MD | 74.49 | 3,530 | 25.51 | 1,209 |
| ME | 77.68 | 1,872 | 22.32 | 538 |
| MS | 75.76 | 4,713 | 24.24 | 1,508 |
| NC | 76.59 | 4,806 | 23.41 | 1,469 |
| NH | 74.39 | 3,065 | 25.61 | 1,055 |
| NJ | 74.46 | 4,587 | 25.54 | 1,573 |
| NY | 73.14 | 4,989 | 26.86 | 1,832 |
| RI | 76.99 | 4,761 | 23.01 | 1,423 |
| SC | 77.16 | 4,209 | 22.84 | 1,246 |
| VA | 76.05 | 4,170 | 23.95 | 1,313 |
| Total | 75.35 | 73,117 | 24.65 | 23,914 |

* Final data are additional surveys that were not yet received in the preliminary data

Following data delivery for each wave, a check-in process verifies the presence and formatting of all variables, confirms responses are within acceptable ranges, and compares response distributions for each survey measure to historical data to identify large-scale inconsistencies relative to the time-series.

Once data validity is confirmed, item nonresponse (missing data) and illogical responses (extra data) are examined. Identifying missing (nonresponse) and extra (illogical) responses requires a determination of the expected number of individual residents within each household. This is achieved by comparing the reported number of household members to the count of individual household residents for whom information is provided. A person is enumerated if any effort question (Q15 and/or Q16) and at least one demographic question (Q11-Q14) are completed (Appendix A). Item response and illogical response are then placed into one of five categories:

1) Complete - household and person-level items are complete and consistent
2) Missing people - the count of responding persons is fewer than the reported number of household members
3) Extra people - the count of responding persons is greater than the reported number of household members
4) Extra information - the count of responding persons equals the reported number of household members, but there are demographic or effort responses present for at least one uncounted person
5) Missing household members - the number of reported household members is missing or zero

Surveys containing item nonresponse and illogical response are examined via an automated process which attempts to match the number of people responding to the number of reported household members. The automated process ranks individual person sections from complete to blank and, using imputation and automatic edits, additively retains the most complete to less complete people, while also removing extra information, until the sum of counted persons matches the number of reported household members or the number of household members is adjusted to match additional people that responded. This process maximizes the completeness of individual person sections within a survey while minimizing the number of edits. Any nonresponse or illogical response that cannot be resolved by automated processing is flagged for manual examination.

Imputation is the process of assigning values to missing data (item nonresponse). A common imputation in the FES results when an individual reports complete demographic information but fails to check the "did not fish" box and reports no value for shore or private boat effort. In this scenario, the count of people is often less than the number of reported household members, and it is assumed that effort questions were intentionally left blank because questions about fishing activity were not applicable to the respondent. As a result, zeros are imputed for missing effort which results in the correct number of people relative to the reported number of household members and reconciles item nonresponse.

Automatic edits work in reverse of imputation and serve to eliminate extra responses or adjust existing responses that are illogical. A common automatic edit occurs when all person sections (five) are completed regardless of the reported number of people in the household. The result is that the count of completed person sections exceeds the reported number of household members. Extra people are often identifiable as duplicates, containing the same age and gender as other household members. Any duplicate people greater than the number of reported household members are automatically edited to inapplicable if their removal allows the number of people to equal the number of reported household members.

Once data are corrected for missing and illogical values, all surveys, including those previously flagged for manual review by automated processing, are examined via logic checks for contradictory, nonsensical, and unlikely/extreme values and flagged for manual review upon failure. During manual review changes may be made to the survey disposition, number of household members, demographic information, and saltwater fishing effort. Scanned images of surveys flagged for manual review are compared directly to coded data to ensure anomalous values are not the result of poor handwriting that resulted in scanning errors. Surveys flagged
via logic checks for large amounts of reported effort or effort with contradictory information (e.g. checked the shore or boat did not fish box but reported non-zero effort) undergo a critical but conservative review.

Edits applied during automated or manual processing are documented through the creation of unique identifier variables. Original, unedited, values are also retained to maintain accountability and permit comparisons between edited and original values. Overall, $11.67 \%$ of eligible surveys returned during 2022 received some form of data edit. Edit rates across waves were consistently below $15 \%$ ranging from $10.61 \%$ to $12.60 \%$ (Table 4).

Table 4. FES survey edit rates by wave during 2022

| Survey <br> Wave | Not Edited |  | Data Edit |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 6,324 | 87.64 | 892 | 12.36 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 23,143 | 88.19 | 3,099 | 11.81 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 12,931 | 89.39 | 1,535 | 10.61 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 11,206 | 88.81 | 1,412 | 11.19 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 14,778 | 88.63 | 1,895 | 11.37 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 16,728 | 87.40 | 2,412 | 12.60 |
| Total | 85,110 | 88.33 | 11,245 | 11.67 |

Following automated and manual data processing, a final review of data is completed to identify surveys that are unlikely to be representative of other households within the stratum. Total two month saltwater shore and private boat effort within a household are examined relative to other households during each reference wave and relative to the time series to identify data that are non-representative. For example, a household may be identified as non-representative if it is hundreds of miles from the coast, does not contain a licensed angler, and reported dozens of saltwater private boat trips. The non-representative examination is based on expert review and assigned sparingly. A total of 46 households ( $0.05 \%$ ) were identified as non-representative during 2022; rates were consistently low across waves ranging from $0.01 \%$ to $0.10 \%$ (Table 5). Survey weights for households deemed non-representative were adjusted to be selfrepresentative (assigned a final weight of 1) and residual weights were re-distributed among other sampled addresses within the same stratum.

Table 5. Non-representative surveys during 2022

| Survey <br> Wave | Not Edited |  | Non-Representative |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 7,215 | 99.99 | 1 | 0.01 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 26,232 | 99.96 | 10 | 0.04 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 14,459 | 99.95 | 7 | 0.05 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 12,605 | 99.90 | 13 | 0.10 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 16,664 | 99.95 | 9 | 0.05 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 19,134 | 99.97 | 6 | 0.03 |
| Total | 96,309 | 99.95 | 46 | 0.05 |

## 5. Response Rates

After data processing, unit response rates were calculated using the American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) Response Rate 2 (RR2) calculation for unnamed mail surveys which excludes ineligible samples from the sample total. Response rates were calculated as

$$
R R 2=\frac{(\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{P})}{(\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{P})+(\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{NC}+\mathrm{O})+(\mathrm{UH}+\mathrm{UO})}
$$

where I and P are the number of eligible interviews containing complete (I) and partially complete ( P ) surveys, $R, N C$, and $O$ are the number of eligible non-interviews including refusals ( R ), noncontacts ( NC ), and Other ( O ) and, UH and UO are the number of unknown eligible surveys including housing occupancy (UH) or other unknowns (UO).

The overall, weighted, unit response rate during 2022 was $25.45 \%$ (Table 6). By wave, weighted response rates fluctuated slightly ranging from $23.72 \%$ during wave five to $27.43 \%$ during wave one (Table 6).

Table 6. Weighted response rates by wave during 2022

| Survey <br> Wave | Response <br> Weighted \% |  | Unknown Eligibility |  | Other* |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{N}$ | 7,212 | 27.43 | 15,839 | 72.27 | 51 | 0.30 | 23,102 |
| Weighted \% | $\mathbf{N}$ | Weighted \% | Total |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 26,223 | 25.95 | 69,819 | 73.85 | 211 | 0.20 | 96,253 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 14,454 | 26.69 | 35,057 | 73.13 | 89 | 0.18 | 49,600 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 12,606 | 25.18 | 32,320 | 74.48 | 134 | 0.34 | 45,060 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 16,660 | 23.72 | 46,387 | 75.95 | 211 | 0.33 | 63,258 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 19,122 | 25.04 | 49,764 | 74.59 | 233 | 0.36 | 69,119 |
| Total | 96,277 | 25.45 | 249,186 | 74.27 | 929 | 0.28 | 346,392 |

* Includes nonresponse and removed surveys

Across states, weighted response rates varied substantially ranging from $20.42 \%$ in Georgia to $36.83 \%$ in Hawaii (Table 7).
Table 7. Weighted response rates by state during 2022

| State | Response |  | Unknown Eligibility |  | Other* |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{N}$ | Weighted \% | $\mathbf{N}$ | Weighted \% | $\mathbf{N}$ | Weighted \% |  |  |
| AL | 5,099 | 24.37 | 13,749 | 75.29 | 51 | 0.34 | 18,899 |  |
| CT | 5,893 | 27.18 | 14,576 | 72.57 | 49 | 0.26 | 20,518 |  |
| DE | 4,717 | 28.87 | 10,855 | 70.89 | 33 | 0.23 | 15,605 |  |
| FL | 2,638 | 25.73 | 7,214 | 73.97 | 25 | 0.30 | 9,877 |  |
| GA | 7,937 | 20.42 | 28,979 | 79.34 | 92 | 0.24 | 37,008 |  |
| HI | 8,572 | 36.83 | 14,590 | 62.88 | 60 | 0.29 | 23,222 |  |
| MA | 8,006 | 27.23 | 20,356 | 72.49 | 76 | 0.28 | 28,438 |  |
| MD | 4,713 | 26.48 | 12,665 | 73.31 | 31 | 0.21 | 17,409 |  |
| ME | 2,395 | 33.15 | 4,824 | 66.65 | 16 | 0.20 | 7,235 |  |
| MS | 6,171 | 24.22 | 15,961 | 75.37 | 91 | 0.41 | 22,223 |  |
| NC | 6,231 | 26.99 | 14,482 | 72.76 | 45 | 0.25 | 20,758 |  |
| NH | 4,092 | 31.12 | 9,169 | 68.69 | 31 | 0.19 | 13,292 |  |
| NJ | 6,093 | 23.93 | 17,728 | 75.76 | 73 | 0.31 | 23,894 |  |
| NY | 6,730 | 22.94 | 25,762 | 76.78 | 111 | 0.28 | 32,603 |  |
| RI | 6,141 | 30.02 | 13,686 | 69.73 | 45 | 0.25 | 19,872 |  |
| SC | 5,409 | 27.34 | 11,179 | 72.31 | 55 | 0.35 | 16,643 |  |
| VA | 5,440 | 28.22 | 13,411 | 71.50 | 45 | 0.28 | 18,896 |  |
| Total | 96,277 | 25.45 | 249,186 | 74.27 | 929 | 0.28 | 346,392 |  |
| In |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* Includes nonresponse and removed surveys

Item response rates are also evaluated to provide insight into the way respondents interpret individual questions. Unusually high nonresponse rates for individual questions (items) can help illuminate issues with question interpretation and content sensitivity. Item response rates during 2022 were high at over $94 \%$ for all household and person level questions (Table 8).

Table 8. Response rates by question (item) during 2022

| Question | Response |  | Nonresponse | Multiple <br> Response |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ |
| Weather | 96,140 | 99.86 | 137 | 0.14 | . | 0.00 |
| Evac | 95,954 | 99.66 | 306 | 0.32 | 17 | 0.02 |
| Warning | 95,074 | 98.75 | 1,118 | 1.16 | 85 | 0.09 |
| Beach Flag | 96,019 | 99.73 | 241 | 0.25 | 17 | 0.02 |
| Fresh Fish | 95,889 | 99.60 | 326 | 0.34 | 62 | 0.06 |
| Salt Fish | 95,893 | 99.60 | 313 | 0.33 | 71 | 0.07 |
| HH Phone | 94,599 | 98.26 | 478 | 0.50 | 1,200 | 1.25 |
| HH Description | 95,109 | 98.79 | 1,033 | 1.07 | 135 | 0.14 |
| HH Years | 95,630 | 99.33 | 632 | 0.66 | 15 | 0.02 |
| HH Members | 96,225 | 99.95 | 52 | 0.05 | . | 0.00 |
| Age | 218,014 | 95.15 | 11,108 | 4.85 | . | 0.00 |
| Gender | 220,598 | 96.28 | 8,133 | 3.55 | 390 | 0.17 |
| Origin | 216,286 | 94.40 | 12,769 | 5.57 | 66 | 0.03 |
| Race | 216,530 | 94.50 | 12,591 | 5.50 | . | 0.00 |
| Boat Trips | 215,661 | 94.13 | 13,460 | 5.87 | . | 0.00 |
| Shore Trip | 216,997 | 94.71 | 12,124 | 5.29 | . | 0.00 |
| Total | $2,260,618$ | 96.71 | 74,821 | 3.20 | 2,058 | 0.09 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 6. Weighting

After data processing, sample weights for each survey are calculated in stages. In the first stage, base weights ( $w_{i}$ ) for each sampled address within a given stratum are calculated as the inverse of the inclusion probabilities

$$
w_{i}=\frac{1}{\pi_{i}}
$$

where $\pi_{\mathrm{i}}$ is the probability that unit $i$ is included in the sample.
In the second stage, base weights are adjusted to compensate for unit nonresponse (e.g. when households fail to mail back the completed survey). The sample is partitioned into nonresponse adjustment cells, or weighting classes, by state, sub-state region (coastal or noncoastal), license match (matched or unmatched), and boat ownership registration (e.g. whether a
sampled address could be matched to state boater registration list). The base weights of the respondents in each adjustment cell $\left(w_{c i . r}\right)$ are then divided by the response rate for that cell $\left(\widehat{\emptyset}_{c}\right)$ to calculate the adjusted weight $\left(w_{c i}^{*}\right)$

$$
w_{c i}^{*}=\frac{w_{c i . r}}{\widehat{\emptyset}_{c}}
$$

where $\widehat{\emptyset}_{c}=\frac{\sum w_{c i . r}}{\sum w_{c i . r}+\sum w_{c i n r}}$,
$\sum w_{c i . r}$ is the sum of the base weights of each respondent within adjustment cell c , and $\sum w_{c i . n r}$ is the sum of the base weights of each nonrespondent within adjustment cell c .

In the third stage, nonresponse weights are further adjusted through a process known as raking, which adjusts weights so that the separate or marginal distributions for select variables in the sample data conform to corresponding distributions from independent data sources (Brick and Kalton 1996). For the FES, auxiliary variables are derived from the American Community Survey, Current Population Survey and National Health Interview Survey, and include households with seniors, households with children, household tenure (own/rent), households with three or more household members, and wireless-only households. Raking is an iterative procedure that sequentially adjusts weights to force sample distributions to match marginal distributions for each auxiliary variable. The weights are repeatedly adjusted until the sample marginal distributions match the auxiliary marginal distributions for all selected varialbes. Raked weights are calculated as

$$
w_{r i}^{*}=w_{c i}^{*} R_{s}
$$

where $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ is a generalized raking adjustment in state s .
During the fourth stage, raked weights are post-stratified to account for incomplete coverage of the target population. Post-stratification is commonly used to make respondent data conform to target population totals from other sources independent from the survey (Brick and Kalton 1996). The most recent estimates of the number of residential households available from the American Community Survey (United States Census Bureau 2016) are used as population control totals. Nonresponse adjusted weights are post-stratified to household-level control totals within coastal and non-coastal strata (as defined at the time of sampling for each wave). The resulting poststratified weight $\left(w_{h i}^{*}\right)$ of address $i$ in stratum $h$ is calculated as

$$
w_{h i}^{*}=w_{r i}^{*}\left(\frac{H_{h}}{\widehat{H}_{h}}\right)
$$

where the adjustment factor is equal to the ratio of the control total ( $H_{h}$, from the American Community Survey) to the estimated total based upon the sum of nonresponse adjusted weights $\left(\widehat{H}_{h}\right)$.

Following these three weighting adjustments, a final weight trimming process is applied to mitigate the impacts of extreme values on the precision of survey estimates. Highly variable weights can result in large sampling variances, so it is often desirable to minimize the frequency and size of extreme weights. There is a tradeoff, however, between increasing precision and
biasing estimates through weight trimming procedures. The Estimated Mean Square Error (MSE) Trimming procedure allows for evaluating various trimming levels to identify an optimal level that minimizes the estimated mean square error of an estimate (i.e. minimizes the sum of sampling variance and the square of the estimated bias, Potter 1990; Potter 1988). The MSE for various levels of trimming $\left(\widehat{\operatorname{MSE}}\left(\widehat{T}_{t}\right)\right)$ is estimated as

$$
\widehat{M S E}\left(\widehat{T}_{t}\right)=\left(\widehat{T}_{t}-\widehat{T}\right)^{2}-V(\widehat{T})+2\left[V\left(\widehat{T}_{t}\right) V(\hat{T})\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

where $\widehat{T}$ is the effort estimate using untrimmed weights,
$\widehat{T}_{t}$ is the effort estimate using trimmed weights, and
$V(\widehat{T})$ and $V\left(\widehat{T}_{t}\right)$ are the estimated variance of $\widehat{T}$ and $\widehat{T}_{t}$ respectively.
The automated procedure is carried out by repeatedly reducing maximum weighted values by increments of $5 \%$ and redistributing excess weights among untrimmed sample cases. The $\widehat{M S E}\left(\widehat{T_{t}}\right)$ is estimated for each incremental adjustment until the minimum value is identified, indicating that the optimal level of trimming has been reached. Trimming is performed separately for each fishing mode resulting in two final survey weights, one for private boat fishing and one for shore fishing.

## 7. Estimates and Survey Data

After weights are finalized, total shore and private boat fishing effort by residents of coastal states are estimated as weighted sums. Correction factors to account for fishing effort by residents of non-coastal states are derived from the complementary Access Point Angler Intercept Survey (APAIS).

Upon completion of the review and estimation processes, estimates of recreational saltwater fishing effort are available, first for preliminary data and updated with final, within 45 days of the end of the reference wave. Current and prior year estimates can be found at: https://www.st.nmfs.noaa.gov/recreational-fisheries/data-and-documentation/queries/index.
Public-use microdata are available for download from https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/recreational-fishing-data/recreational-fishing-data-downloads.

## 8. Quality Management

The FES contractor performs quality and project management functions, and NOAA Fisheries monitors and assesses performance by reviewing the contractor's planning documentation, hosting project kickoff meetings, tracking all survey tasks, and attending weekly conference calls.

At the start of each new FES contract, the contractor is required to develop and submit a quality and project management plan to NOAA Fisheries. The plan includes a detailed schedule of project activities, and reflects the requirements specified in the contract and/or describes and justifies revisions to any of those requirements. The plan also reflects a set of quality management procedures to ensure the collection of high quality data at all stages of the process, addressing each of the following activities: printing, preparing mailing packages, processing
returned questionnaires (paper and/or web), data entry/data verification, and data file production. It further specifies procedures and management controls, and includes a template and schedule for reporting results of quality management operations to NOAA Fisheries staff.

## 9. Process Improvement

The MRIP Fishing Effort Survey was designed and tested through a series of pilot studies completed between 2007-2014. We continue to evaluate nonsampling errors and potential survey improvements. Below is a comprehensive list of pilot study reports available on our website.

1. A Comparison of Recreational Fishing Effort Survey Designs (2012): Coverage error (ABS vs. RDD, Household vs. License), Nonresponse, Measurement (Gatekeeper, recall, salience)
2. Continued Development and Testing of Dual-Frame Surveys of Fishing Effort: Testing a Dual-Frame, Mixed Mode Design (2013): Coverage error (ABS vs. license sampling) and measurement error (mail vs. phone)
3. Development and Testing of Recreational Fishing Effort Surveys: Testing a Mail Survey Design (2014): Test of FES design. Includes results from initial nonresponse follow-up study and assessment of various sources of nonsampling error
4. Evaluating a Gatekeeper Effect in the Coastal Household Telephone Survey (2018): Evaluates screening error in the CHTS
5. A comparison of recall error in recreational fisheries surveys with one and two-month reference periods (2015): Measurement error in FES (Andrews, William \& Papacostas, Katherine \& Foster, John. (2018). A Comparison of Recall Error in Recreational Fisheries Surveys with One- and Two-Month Reference Periods. North American Journal of Fisheries Management. 10.1002/nafm.10233. )
6. Testing a Web-Push Design for Estimating Recreational Fishing Effort (2018)
7. Evaluating Nonresponse Bias in the MRIP Fishing Effort Survey (2022): FES nonresponse bias study and weighting procedures
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## Appendix A. Questionnaire

11 What is this person's gender? Male $\square$ Female
12 How old is this person? If less than 1 year, mark 0 years Age in years

13 Is this person of Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish origin? $\square$ Yes, of Hispanic origin
$\square$ No, not of Hispanic origin
14 What is this person's race? Mark one or more boxes. $\square$ White
$\square$ Black, African-American
$\square$ Asian
$\square$ American Indian or Alaska Native
$\square$ Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islande
Please think only about recreational saltwater fishing in North Carolina.
recreational saltwater fishing from the SHORE in North Carolina?
The shore includes docks, bridges, causeways, beaches, banks, or any other shore-based place or area. Do not include freshwater fishing.
$\square$ Did not recreational saltwater fish from shore $\square$ in last 12 months $\rightarrow$ Go to question 16 Number of days saltwater shore fishing in January and February of 2022


Number of days saltwater shore
fishing in last 12 months, including
January and February January and February

16 How many days did this person go recreational saltwater fishing from a private or rental that returned to shore in North Carolina?

Do not include freshwater trips or trips where a $\square$ Did not recreational saltwater fish from $\square \begin{aligned} & \text { Did not recreational saltwater } \\ & \text { private boat in last } 12 \text { months }\end{aligned}$fishing in January and February of 2022

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |

Number of days saltwater boat fishing in last 12 months, including January and February

If you have more people in your household, continue to Household Member 5. If you have answered for all people in your household, please return your survey.

## HOUSEHOLD MEMBER 5

11 What is this person's gender? $\square$ Male $\square$ Female
12 How old is this person?
$\qquad$ If less than 1 year, mark 0 years Age in years
13 Is this person of Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish origin? $\square$ Yes, of Hispanic origin $\square$ No, not of Hispanic origin
14 What is this person's race? Mark one or more boxes. $\square$ White
$\square$ Black, African-American
$\square$ Asian
$\square$ American Indian or Alaska Native
$\square$ Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander
Please think only about recreational saltwater fishing in North Carolina.

15 How many days did this person go recreational saltwater fishing from the SHORE in North Carolina?
The shore includes docks, bridges, causeways, beaches, banks, or any other shore-based plater
or area. Do not include freshwater fishing.
$\square$ Did not recreational saltwater fish from shore in last 12 months $\rightarrow$ Go to question 16
$\square$ fishing in January and February of 2022

|  |  | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Number of days saltwater shore } \\ \text { fishing in last } 12 \text { months, including } \\ \text { January and February }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | January and February

16 How many days did this person go recreational saltwater fishing from a private or rental BOAT that returned to shore in North Carolina?

Do not include freshwater trips or trips where a paid captain or crew helped locate and catch fish.
$\square$ Did not recreational saltwater fish from private boat in last 12 months

Number of days saltwater boat
fishing in January and February of 2022
$\square$ Number of days saltwater boat fishing in last 12 months, including January and February
Please return your survey in the enclosed postage-paid envelope. RTI International
5265 Capital Boulevard, Raleigh NC 27690-1652
|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

## 22199999

## North Carolina

Weather and Outdoor
Activity Survey


Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 10 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other
suggestions for reducing this burden to Rob Andrews, NOAA Fisheries Service, 1315 East-West Hwy., Silver Spring, MD 20910.

No personally identifiable information will be collected through this survey. Responses will only be associated with a unique, randomly assigned identification code. Any public release of survey data will be without identification as to its
source or in aggregate statistical form. All survey data will be stored on secured, password protected servers, and all source or in aggregate statistical form. All survey data will be st.
transfer of survey data will utilize secure file transfer protocols.

This survey should be filled out by an adult member of the household. Complete and
return this form even if no one in your household participates in any of these activities.
$\downarrow$ START HERE
Please carefully follow the steps below when completing this survey.

- Use only a blue or black ink pen that does not blot the paper
- Make solid marks inside the response boxes

Do not make other marks on the survey

How do members of this household obtain information about the weather, including
current weather conditions, forecasts, and warnings? Mark all that apply.
$\square$ Television
$\square$ Radio
$\square$ Newspaper
$\square$ Other
$\square$ Other

2 During the past 12 months, has anyone in this household had to evacuate or seek
shelter due to a severe weather event, suc as a tornado, hurricane, or thunderstorm? $\square$ Yes
$\square$ No
3 In your area, how often do the advanced warnings you get for severe weather events
allow you enough time to prepare properly? $\square$ All the Time
$\square$ Some of the time
$\square$ Rarely
$\square$ Never

4 During the past 12 months, has anyone in this household visited a public beach, national seashore, coastal state park, or
other coastal nature reserve or protected
$\square$ Yes
$\square \mathrm{Yes}$

D
During the past 12 months, has anyone in
this household been freshwater fishing in this household been freshwater fishing in
North Carolina? North Carolina
$\square$ Yes
$\square$ No
6 During the past 12 months, has anyone in his household been saltwater fishing in North Carolina?
$\square$ Yes
$\square$ No

Which of the following best describes how your household receives telephone calls? $\square$ All are received on cell phones Most are received on cell phones $\square$ Some are received on cell phones and $\square$ Most are received on lan $\square$ All are received on landline phone $\square$ No calls are received on cell phones landline phones
8 Which of the following best describes this house, apartment, or mobile home? Own a mortgage or $\square$ Owned (without a mortgage) $\square$ Rented
$\square$ Occupied without payment or rent


How long have youl lived at this address? $\square 1$ year or less
$\square$ Less than 5 years, more than 1 year $\square 5$ years or more

0 How many people, including all adults and children, live in this household?
$\square$ Number of people

Please answer the next section for each Please answer the next section for each
member of your household, starting with yourself. Please answer for all people in your home, including people who fish and people who do not fish.
If you have more than 5 people living a members of the hor the old
ase use the calendars to help answe questions 15 and 16.


HOUSEHOLD MEMBER 1 (YOU)
11 What is your gender?
$\square$ Male
$\square$ Female
12 How old are you? Tol mark 0 years
Age in years

13 Are you of Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish origin? $\square$ Yes, of Hispanic origin $\square$ No, not of Hispanic origin

14 What is your race? Mark one or more boxes. $\square$ White
$\square$ Black, African-American
$\square$ Asian
$\square$ American Indian or Alaska Nativ
$\square$ Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander
Please think only about recreational saltwater fishing in North Carolina.

15 How many days did you go recreational saltwater fishing from the SHORE in North Carolina?
The shore includes docks, bridges, causeways, beaches, banks, or any other shore-based place or area. Do not include freshwater fishing
$\square$ Did not recreational saltwater fish from shore in last 12 months $\rightarrow$ Go to question 16Number of days saltwater shore
fishing in January and February of 2022Number of days saltwater shore fishing in last 12 months, including January and February

16 How many days did you go recreational saltwater fishing from a private or rental BOAT that returned to shore in North Carolina?
Do not include freshwater trips or trips where a paid captain or crew helped locate and catch fish.
$\square$ Did not recreational saltwater fish from private boat in last 12 months

Number of days saltwater boat
fishing in January and February of 2022
$\square$ Number of days saltwater boat fishing in last 12 months, including January and February
If you have more people in your household, continue to Household Member 2. If you have please return your survey.

HOUSEHOLD MEMBER 2
11 What is this person's gender? $\square$ Male $\square$ Female
12 How old is this person? If less than 1 year, mark 0 years Age in years

13 Is this person of Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish origin? $\square$ Yes, of Hispanic origin
$\square$ No, not of Hispanic origin
14 What is this person's race? Mark one or more boxes. $\square$ White
$\square$ Black, African-American
$\square$ Asian
$\square$ American Indian or Alaska Native
$\square$ Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander
Please think only about recreational saltwate fishing in North Carolina.
15 How many days did this person go recreational saltwater fishing from the SHORE in North Carolina?

The shore includes docks, bridges, causeways, beaches, banks, or any other shore-based place or area. Do not include freshwater fishing.
Did not recreational saltwater fish from shore
in last 12 months $\rightarrow$ Go to question 16
in last 12 months $\rightarrow$ Go to question 1 Number of days saltwater shore
fishing in January and February of 2022
$\square$ Number of days saltwater shore fishing in last 12 months, including January and February

16 How many days did this person go recreational saltwater fishing from a private or rental BO
that returned to shore in North Carolina? that returned to shore in North Carolina?
Do not include freshwater trips or trips where a $\square$ Did not recreational saltwater fish from $\square \begin{aligned} & \text { Did not recreational saltwater } \\ & \text { private boat in last } 12 \text { months }\end{aligned}$
$\qquad$ Number of days saltwater boat
fishing in January and Ferun
. 2022


Number of days saltwater boat fishing in last 12 months, including

If you have more people in your household, continue to Household Member 3. If you have please return your survey.

HOUSEHOLD MEMBER 3
11 What is this person's gender?
$\square$ Male
$\square$ Female
12 How old is this person? If less than 1 year, mark 0 years Age in years
13 Is this person of Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish origin? $\square$ Yes, of Hispanic origin $\square \mathrm{No}$, not of Hispanic origin

14 What is this person's race? Mark one or more boxes $\square$ White
$\square$ Black, African-American
$\square$ Asian
$\square$ American Indian or Alaska Native
$\square$ Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander
Please think only about recreational saltwater fishing in North Carolina.
15 How many days did this person go
recreational saltwater fishing from the SHORE in North Carolina?

The shore includes docks, bridges, causeways, beaches, banks, or any other shore-based place or area. Do not include freshwater fishing.
$\square$ Did not recreational saltwater fish from shore
$\square$ Number of days saltwater shore
Number of days saltwater shore
fishing in January and February of 2022

| Number of days saltwater shore |
| :--- | :--- | fishing in last 12 months, including January and February

16 How many days did this person go recreationa saltwater fishing from a private or rental BOAT that returned to shore in North Carolina?
Do not include freshwater trips or trips where a paid captain or crew helped locate and catch fish. $\square$ Did not recreational saltwater fish from private boat in last 12 months

Number of days saltwater boat
fishing in January and Februa
fishing in January and February of 2022
$\square$ Number of days saltwater boat fishing in last 12 months, includi

If you have more people in your household nswerd fousehold Member 4. If you have please return your survey.

Appendix B. Coastal Designations by County for Each State Sampled During 2022

## State Counties

AL Baldwin, Clarke**, Escambia**, Mobile, Monroe, Washington**
CT* All Counties
DE* All Counties
FL All Counties
GA* Appling**, Brantley, Bryan, Bulloch**, Camden, Charlton, Chatham, Effingham, Evans**, Glynn, Liberty, Long, Mc Intosh, Pierce**, Screven**, Tattnall**, Ware**, Wayne
HI All Counties
MA* Barnstable, Bristol, Dukes, Essex, Middlesex, Nantucket, Norfolk, Plymouth, Suffolk
MD* Anne Arundel, Baltimore, Baltimore City, Calvert, Caroline, Cecil, Charles, Dorchester, Harford, Howard, Kent, Montgomery, Prince Georges, Queen Annes, Somerset, St Marys, Talbot, Wicomico, Worcester

ME* Androscoggin, Cumberland, Hancock, Kennebec, Knox, Lincoln, Penobscot, Sagadahoc, Waldo, Washington, York

MS Forrest**, George, Greene**, Hancock, Harrison, Jackson, Pearl River, Perry**, Stone
NC Beaufort, Bertie, Bladen, Brunswick, Camden, Carteret, Chowan, Columbus, Craven, Cumberland**, Currituck, Dare, Duplin, Durham**, Edgecombe, Franklin**, Gates, Granville**, Greene, Halifax, Harnett**, Hertford, Hoke**, Hyde, Johnston**, Jones, Lenoir, Martin, Moore**, Nash**, New Hanover, Northampton, Onslow, Pamlico, Pasquotank, Pender, Perquimans, Pitt, Richmond**, Robeson, Sampson, Scotland**, Tyrrell, Vance**, Wake**, Warren**, Washington, Wayne, Wilson

NH* Hillsborough, Merrimack, Rockingham, Strafford
NJ* Atlantic, Bergen, Burlington, Camden, Cape May, Cumberland, Essex, Gloucester, Hudson, Mercer, Middlesex, Monmouth, Morris, Ocean, Passaic, Salem, Somerset, Union

NY* Bronx, Kings, Nassau, New York, Putnam, Queens, Richmond, Rockland, Suffolk, Westchester
RI* All Counties
SC* Allendale**, Bamberg**, Beaufort, Berkeley, Charleston, Clarendon**, Colleton, Dillon**, Dorchester, Florence, Georgetown, Hampton, Horry, Jasper, Marion, Orangeburg**, Williamsburg
VA* Accomack, Caroline, Charles City, Chesapeake City, Chesterfield, Colonial Heights City, Dinwiddie, Essex, Fredericksburg City, Gloucester, Hampton City, Hanover, Henrico, Hopewell City, Isle Of Wight, James City, King And Queen, King George, King William, Lancaster, Mathews, Middlesex, New Kent, Newport News City, Norfolk City, Northampton, Northumberland, Petersburg City, Poquoson, Portsmouth City, Prince George, Prince William, Richmond, Richmond City, Southampton, Spotsylvania, Stafford, Suffolk City, Surry, Sussex, Virginia Beach City, Westmoreland, Williamsburg City, York
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## Appendix C. Survey Supporting Materials

H4571-W1\#-0004933 P004 T00037 ************5-DIGIT 28470
NORTH CAROLINA RESIDENT

וاון

## Dear North Carolina Resident,

I am writing to ask for your help in a study that RTI International is conducting on behalf of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). This survey asks questions about severe weather and outdoor activities. The results will be used to learn more about the environment and help improve the quality of marine and coastal resources.

For this study to be accurate, we need all households who receive this short survey to complete it and send it back. Your address was randomly picked from a list of addresses in North Carolina, and we can't replace you with someone else. Your responses will help all residents of North Carolina have their voices heard.

This survey asks about many outdoor activities. Some people enjoy many of these activities, while others aren't interested in these activities. It is very important that your household complete the survey, even if no one participates in these activities.

This survey should be completed by an adult living at this address. We have included a small gift of $\$ 2$ as a way of saying thank you for your help.

This is a voluntary survey, and your responses are confidential and will only be used in combination with answers from other households. If you have any questions or comments about this study, we will be happy to talk to you. Please call 1-877-212-7229.

Thank you very much for your help with this important study. Please return your finished survey to RTI International using the enclosed postage-paid envelope.

Yours sincerely,


John Foster
Chief, Recreational Fisheries Statistics Branch
NOAA Fisheries Office of Science \& Technology

## Commonly Asked Questions

- How did you get my address?

Your address was randomly selected from all addresses in North Carolina. You and your household represent many other households in your part of North Carolina.

- Nobody in my household participates in outdoor recreational activities. Should I still complete the survey?
Yes. It is important that everyone who receives this short questionnaire complete it and return it. For the results of the study to be accurate, we need basic information about all households who received the survey regardless of whether they participate in outdoor recreational activities.
- Why can't you interview another household instead of mine? We can't select another household. For the results to be accurate, we need all households who receive this short questionnaire to complete it and send it back.
- How much time will this survey take?

On average, it should take less than ten minutes to complete, including reviewing instructions, and answering the questions.

- Who is sponsoring the survey?

This study is being sponsored by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). NOAA's mission is to understand and predict changes in the Earth's environment and conserve and manage coastal and marine resources to meet our nation's economic, social, and environmental needs.

- How will the information I provide be used?

This survey collects information about how outdoor and marine resources in North Carolina are used and will help us better manage these resources for the future.

Your answers are completely confidential and will be used only for this study in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974. Call RTI International, toll-free, at 1-877-212-7229 with questions about this survey.

# 0001246 P003 T00003 *********ALL FOR AADC 283 NORTH CAROLINA RESIDENT 



Last week we sent your household a North Carolina Weather and Outdoor Activity Survey that RTI International is conducting on behalf of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). If you have already completed and returned the survey, please accept our sincere thanks. If not, I hope you will do so today. It should take no more than 5 to 10 minutes to fill out the survey.

RTI International and NOAA are conducting this study to learn more about outdoor activities and natural resources in North Carolina. Your responses are very important to us. Please know that your answers are completely confidential and will be used only for this study in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974.

If you did not receive the survey or need another copy, please call RTI International tollfree at 1-877-212-7229.


John Foster
Chief, Recreational Fisheries Statistics Branch NOAA Fisheries Office of Science \& Technology
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NORTH CAROLINA RESIDENT


Dear North Carolina Resident,
A few weeks ago we sent a survey to your household on severe weather events and outdoor activities. RTI International is conducting this study on behalf of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). If you have already returned the survey, we thank you. If you have not returned it, we ask you to please complete the enclosed survey and return it in the postage-paid envelope as soon as possible.

Your completed survey will help our understanding of the environment and coastal resources in the state of North Carolina.

Your address was randomly selected from a list of all addresses in North Carolina. For this study to be accurate, we need all households who receive this short survey to fill it out and send it back whether or not you participate in outdoor activities. The survey should be completed by an adult member of the household.

We are very grateful for your help. If you have any questions or comments, we will be happy to talk with you. Please call 1-877-212-7229.

Yours sincerely,


John Foster
Chief, Recreational Fisheries Statistics Branch
NOAA Fisheries Office of Science \& Technology

[^1]
## Commonly Asked Questions

- How did you get my address?

Your address was randomly selected from all addresses in North Carolina. You and your household represent many other households in your part of North Carolina.

- Nobody in my household participates in outdoor recreational activities. Should I still complete the survey?
Yes. It is important that everyone who receives this short questionnaire complete it and return it. For the results of the study to be accurate, we need basic information about all households who received the survey regardless of whether they participate in outdoor recreational activities.
- Why can't you interview another household instead of mine? We can't select another household. For the results to be accurate, we need all households who receive this short questionnaire to complete it and send it back.
- How much time will this survey take?

On average, it should take less than ten minutes to complete, including reviewing instructions, and answering the questions.

- Who is sponsoring the survey?

This study is being sponsored by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). NOAA's mission is to understand and predict changes in the Earth's environment and conserve and manage coastal and marine resources to meet our nation's economic, social, and environmental needs.

- How will the information I provide be used?

This survey collects information about how outdoor and marine resources in North Carolina are used and will help us better manage these resources for the future.

Your answers are completely confidential and will be used only for this study in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974. Call RTI International, toll-free, at 1-877-212-7229 with questions about this survey.

Appendix D. Return Rates by Stratum for Waves 1-6, 2022

Appendix D. Return Rates by Stratum for Waves 1-6, 2022

|  | Wave 1 |  | Returns | N | \% Returned |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AL | Coastal | Match | 220 | 672 | 32.7 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 667 | 2,695 | 24.7 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 27 | 98 | 27.6 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 198 | 889 | 22.3 |
| FL | Coastal | Match | 128 | 433 | 29.6 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 283 | 1,067 | 26.5 |
| HI | Coastal | Unmatch | 2,345 | 6,393 | 36.7 |
|  |  | Coastal | Match | 72 | 160 |
| MS |  | Unmatch | 886 | 3,408 | 26.0 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 11 | 38 | 28.9 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 458 | 2,170 | 21.1 |
|  | Coastal | Match | 674 | 1,837 | 36.7 |
| NC |  | Unmatch | 522 | 2,041 | 25.6 |
|  |  | Mon-Coastal | Match | 187 | 560 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 584 | 2,430 | 24.0 |

Appendix D. Return Rates by Stratum for Waves 1-6, 2022

| Wave 2 |  |  | Returns | N | \% Returned |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AL | Coastal | Match | 120 | 337 | 35.6 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 381 | 1,488 | 25.6 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 21 | 74 | 28.4 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 178 | 769 | 23.1 |
| CT | Coastal | Match | 168 | 365 | 46.0 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 1,978 | 7,627 | 25.9 |
| DE | Coastal | Match | 104 | 254 | 40.9 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 1,425 | 4,714 | 30.2 |
| FL | Coastal | Match | 124 | 430 | 28.8 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 392 | 1,736 | 22.6 |
| GA | Coastal | Match | 201 | 715 | 28.1 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 599 | 2,721 | 22.0 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 186 | 792 | 23.5 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 1,609 | 8,402 | 19.2 |
| HI | Coastal | Unmatch | 1,686 | 4,914 | 34.3 |
| MA | Coastal | Match | 54 | 145 | 37.2 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 2,514 | 9,622 | 26.1 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 23 | 79 | 29.1 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 242 | 800 | 30.3 |
| MD | Coastal | Match | 410 | 1,345 | 30.5 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 747 | 3,166 | 23.6 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 37 | 76 | 48.7 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 57 | 173 | 32.9 |
| MS | Coastal | Match | 111 | 240 | 46.3 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 755 | 2,714 | 27.8 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 26 | 61 | 42.6 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 423 | 1,852 | 22.8 |
| NC | Coastal | Match | 223 | 699 | 31.9 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 271 | 1,178 | 23.0 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 310 | 870 | 35.6 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 142 | 560 | 25.4 |

Appendix D. Return Rates by Stratum for Waves 1-6, 2022

| Wave 2 |  |  | Returns | N | \% Returned |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NJ | Coastal | Match | 285 | 637 | 44.7 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 2,003 | 8,661 | 23.1 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 13 | 32 | 40.6 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 70 | 203 | 34.5 |
| NY | Coastal | Match | 210 | 786 | 26.7 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 2,157 | 11,360 | 19.0 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 116 | 360 | 32.2 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 94 | 316 | 29.7 |
| RI | Coastal | Match | 405 | 1,182 | 34.3 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 2,178 | 7,564 | 28.8 |
| SC | Coastal | Match | 510 | 1,331 | 38.3 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 472 | 1,576 | 29.9 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 121 | 361 | 33.5 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 177 | 718 | 24.7 |
| VA | Coastal | Match | 455 | 1,306 | 34.8 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 1,316 | 5,199 | 25.3 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 62 | 172 | 36.0 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 271 | 914 | 29.6 |

Appendix D. Return Rates by Stratum for Waves 1-6, 2022

| Wave 3 |  |  | Returns | N | \% Returned |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AL | Coastal | Match | 151 | 453 | 33.3 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 278 | 1,078 | 25.8 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 40 | 119 | 33.6 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 163 | 751 | 21.7 |
| CT | Coastal | Match | 144 | 354 | 40.7 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 593 | 2,223 | 26.7 |
| DE | Coastal | Match | 263 | 708 | 37.1 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 441 | 1,543 | 28.6 |
| FL | Coastal | Match | 186 | 576 | 32.3 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 262 | 1,030 | 25.4 |
| GA | Coastal | Match | 122 | 423 | 28.8 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 459 | 2,061 | 22.3 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 81 | 303 | 26.7 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 635 | 3,122 | 20.3 |
| HI | Coastal | Unmatch | 974 | 2,790 | 34.9 |
| MA | Coastal | Match | 86 | 250 | 34.4 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 541 | 2,240 | 24.2 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 30 | 70 | 42.9 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 68 | 252 | 27.0 |
| MD | Coastal | Match | 237 | 840 | 28.2 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 420 | 1,844 | 22.8 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 24 | 64 | 37.5 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 36 | 150 | 24.0 |
| ME | Coastal | Match | 193 | 560 | 34.5 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 634 | 1,949 | 32.5 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 15 | 41 | 36.6 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 14 | 47 | 29.8 |
| MS | Coastal | Match | 69 | 140 | 49.3 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 652 | 2,405 | 27.1 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 15 | 38 | 39.5 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 175 | 730 | 24.0 |

Appendix D. Return Rates by Stratum for Waves 1-6, 2022

| Wave 3 |  |  | Returns | N | \% Returned |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NC | Coastal | Match | 291 | 799 | 36.4 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 245 | 982 | 24.9 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 100 | 286 | 35.0 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 104 | 400 | 26.0 |
| NH | Coastal | Match | 258 | 779 | 33.1 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 756 | 2,418 | 31.3 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 21 | 69 | 30.4 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 83 | 281 | 29.5 |
| NJ | Coastal | Match | 148 | 367 | 40.3 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 560 | 2,327 | 24.1 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 19 | 39 | 48.7 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 30 | 114 | 26.3 |
| NY | Coastal | Match | 181 | 684 | 26.5 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 670 | 3,551 | 18.9 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 37 | 96 | 38.5 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 101 | 334 | 30.2 |
| RI | Coastal | Match | 162 | 449 | 36.1 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 824 | 2,702 | 30.5 |
| SC | Coastal | Match | 251 | 682 | 36.8 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 472 | 1,667 | 28.3 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 155 | 384 | 40.4 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 155 | 649 | 23.9 |
| VA | Coastal | Match | 265 | 750 | 35.3 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 442 | 1,779 | 24.8 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 64 | 162 | 39.5 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 148 | 540 | 27.4 |

Appendix D. Return Rates by Stratum for Waves 1-6, 2022

| Wave 4 |  |  | Returns | N | \% Returned |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AL | Coastal | Match | 152 | 488 | 31.1 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 295 | 1,109 | 26.6 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 36 | 115 | 31.3 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 215 | 863 | 24.9 |
| CT | Coastal | Match | 102 | 302 | 33.8 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 361 | 1,455 | 24.8 |
| DE | Coastal | Match | 269 | 880 | 30.6 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 332 | 1,248 | 26.6 |
| FL | Coastal | Match | 164 | 598 | 27.4 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 233 | 1,077 | 21.6 |
| GA | Coastal | Match | 24 | 105 | 22.9 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 496 | 2,229 | 22.3 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 28 | 112 | 25.0 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 905 | 4,613 | 19.6 |
| HI | Coastal | Unmatch | 1,079 | 3,028 | 35.6 |
| MA | Coastal | Match | 182 | 509 | 35.8 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 256 | 1,015 | 25.2 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 27 | 71 | 38.0 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 51 | 205 | 24.9 |
| MD | Coastal | Match | 215 | 729 | 29.5 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 512 | 2,065 | 24.8 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 35 | 72 | 48.6 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 28 | 87 | 32.2 |
| ME | Coastal | Match | 205 | 625 | 32.8 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 394 | 1,164 | 33.8 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 15 | 68 | 22.1 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 18 | 67 | 26.9 |
| MS | Coastal | Match | 48 | 117 | 41.0 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 495 | 1,873 | 26.4 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 18 | 46 | 39.1 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 228 | 1,087 | 21.0 |

Appendix D. Return Rates by Stratum for Waves 1-6, 2022

| Wave 4 |  |  | Returns | N | \% Returned |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NC | Coastal | Match | 326 | 974 | 33.5 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 307 | 1,267 | 24.2 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 52 | 149 | 34.9 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 87 | 342 | 25.4 |
| NH | Coastal | Match | 245 | 760 | 32.2 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 733 | 2,437 | 30.1 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 21 | 55 | 38.2 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 139 | 420 | 33.1 |
| NJ | Coastal | Match | 111 | 301 | 36.9 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 624 | 2,728 | 22.9 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 15 | 48 | 31.3 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 17 | 49 | 34.7 |
| NY | Coastal | Match | 74 | 276 | 26.8 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 434 | 2,477 | 17.5 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 22 | 61 | 36.1 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 80 | 313 | 25.6 |
| RI | Coastal | Match | 164 | 484 | 33.9 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 310 | 1,108 | 28.0 |
| SC | Coastal | Match | 363 | 929 | 39.1 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 284 | 1,108 | 25.6 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 144 | 404 | 35.6 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 150 | 737 | 20.4 |
| VA | Coastal | Match | 151 | 436 | 34.6 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 307 | 1,187 | 25.9 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 27 | 94 | 28.7 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 126 | 446 | 28.3 |

Appendix D. Return Rates by Stratum for Waves 1-6, 2022

| Wave 5 |  |  | Returns | N | \% Returned |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AL | Coastal | Match | 203 | 548 | 37.0 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 688 | 2,976 | 23.1 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 30 | 70 | 42.9 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 395 | 1,809 | 21.8 |
| CT | Coastal | Match | 188 | 537 | 35.0 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 497 | 2,053 | 24.2 |
| DE | Coastal | Match | 311 | 1,083 | 28.7 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 381 | 1,543 | 24.7 |
| FL | Coastal | Match | 197 | 679 | 29.0 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 272 | 1,165 | 23.3 |
| GA | Coastal | Match | 49 | 200 | 24.5 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 503 | 2,645 | 19.0 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 13 | 85 | 15.3 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 688 | 4,055 | 17.0 |
| HI | Coastal | Unmatch | 1,511 | 4,543 | 33.3 |
| MA | Coastal | Match | 282 | 853 | 33.1 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 613 | 2,544 | 24.1 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 26 | 72 | 36.1 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 77 | 291 | 26.5 |
| MD | Coastal | Match | 272 | 876 | 31.1 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 514 | 2,128 | 24.2 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 21 | 52 | 40.4 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 50 | 148 | 33.8 |
| ME | Coastal | Match | 254 | 813 | 31.2 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 626 | 2,091 | 29.9 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 14 | 62 | 22.6 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 28 | 128 | 21.9 |
| MS | Coastal | Match | 160 | 514 | 31.1 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 659 | 2,783 | 23.7 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 30 | 95 | 31.6 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 80 | 439 | 18.2 |

Appendix D. Return Rates by Stratum for Waves 1-6, 2022

| Wave 5 |  |  | Returns | N | \% Returned |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NC | Coastal | Match | 478 | 1,457 | 32.8 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 218 | 1,030 | 21.2 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 96 | 275 | 34.9 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 201 | 919 | 21.9 |
| NH | Coastal | Match | 469 | 1,509 | 31.1 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 1,150 | 4,125 | 27.9 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 25 | 79 | 31.6 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 220 | 747 | 29.5 |
| NJ | Coastal | Match | 190 | 510 | 37.3 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 655 | 3,174 | 20.6 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 16 | 39 | 41.0 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 24 | 81 | 29.6 |
| NY | Coastal | Match | 106 | 461 | 23.0 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 688 | 3,874 | 17.8 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 7 | 43 | 16.3 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 145 | 583 | 24.9 |
| RI | Coastal | Match | 227 | 675 | 33.6 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 379 | 1,362 | 27.8 |
| SC | Coastal | Match | 518 | 1,408 | 36.8 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 232 | 889 | 26.1 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 74 | 233 | 31.8 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 120 | 551 | 21.8 |
| VA | Coastal | Match | 291 | 929 | 31.3 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 437 | 1,897 | 23.0 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 49 | 136 | 36.0 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 148 | 533 | 27.8 |

Appendix D. Return Rates by Stratum for Waves 1-6, 2022

| Wave 6 |  |  | Returns | N | \% Returned |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AL | Coastal | Match | 131 | 359 | 36.5 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 321 | 1,395 | 23.0 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 34 | 103 | 33.0 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 197 | 984 | 20.0 |
| CT | Coastal | Match | 553 | 1,474 | 37.5 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 1,343 | 5,054 | 26.6 |
| DE | Coastal | Match | 439 | 1,361 | 32.3 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 782 | 2,819 | 27.7 |
| FL | Coastal | Match | 155 | 554 | 28.0 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 258 | 1,151 | 22.4 |
| GA | Coastal | Match | 125 | 549 | 22.8 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 428 | 2,154 | 19.9 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 360 | 1,488 | 24.2 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 488 | 2,536 | 19.2 |
| HI | Coastal | Unmatch | 1,022 | 2,944 | 34.7 |
| MA | Coastal | Match | 478 | 1,320 | 36.2 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 2,093 | 8,020 | 26.1 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 50 | 126 | 39.7 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 385 | 1,317 | 29.2 |
| MD | Coastal | Match | 321 | 1,094 | 29.3 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 771 | 3,200 | 24.1 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 6 | 32 | 18.8 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 26 | 59 | 44.1 |
| MS | Coastal | Match | 93 | 272 | 34.2 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 557 | 2,120 | 26.3 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 21 | 66 | 31.8 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 179 | 919 | 19.5 |
| NC | Coastal | Match | 239 | 618 | 38.7 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 294 | 1,136 | 25.9 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 185 | 541 | 34.2 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 139 | 574 | 24.2 |

Appendix D. Return Rates by Stratum for Waves 1-6, 2022

|  | Wave 6 |  | Returns | N | \% Returned |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NJ | Coastal | Match | 214 | 586 | 36.5 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 951 | 4,231 | 22.5 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 14 | 40 | 35.0 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 201 | 643 | 31.3 |
|  | Coastal | Match | 346 | 1,282 | 27.0 |
| NY |  | Unmatch | 1,071 | 6,013 | 17.8 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 12 | 37 | 32.4 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 270 | 984 | 27.4 |
| RI | Coastal | Match | 396 | 1,212 | 32.7 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 1,139 | 3,900 | 29.2 |
|  | Coastal | Match | 557 | 1,549 | 36.0 |
| SC |  | Unmatch | 442 | 1,607 | 27.5 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 198 | 508 | 39.0 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 60 | 308 | 19.5 |
|  |  | Match | 256 | 807 | 31.7 |
| VA |  | Unmatch | 508 | 1,939 | 26.2 |
|  | Non-Coastal | Match | 51 | 127 | 40.2 |
|  |  | Unmatch | 109 | 387 | 28.2 |


[^0]:    * State is not sampled every wave; ** County is only considered coastal for waves 3-5

[^1]:    No personally identifiable information will be collected through this survey. Any public release of survey data will be without identification as to its source or in aggregate statistical form.

